

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,270	09/29/2003	Christopher S. de Voir	117163.00092	3098	
21324 7590 04/18/2007 HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
One GOJO Plan Suite 300	•		BROWN JR,	BROWN JR, NATHAN H	
AKRON, OH 44311-1076		Ye.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2121		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/18/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@hahnlaw.com akron-docket@hotmail.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/674,270	DE VOIR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan H. Brown, Jr.	2121		

	Nathan H. Brown, Jr.	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft cice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO		•
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24. Soo attached Nation of Non-Co	manliant Amandusant	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owable il submitted ili a separate,		ancening the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-17</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara or on the data of filing a N	otics of Appost will be	t he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
<ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>:</li> </ul>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		Hert to	
	Ant	bony Knight	
		ry Patent Examin	5 P
•		roup 3600	<b>8</b> 6

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant should note that Esteller is used to make a rejection under 35 USC 102(e) and also to make a rejection in the alternative under 35 USC 103. It appears that applicant is arguing each of the references separately. While Esteller under the 102(e) rejection may be argued separately, it must also be argued as a combination of Esteller and Hively. Since applicant's arguments are not persuasive, the rejection has not been withdrawn.